

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/623,429 07/18/2003		David M. Koelle	G&C 30967.11-US-U1	3532	
22462	7590 10/21/2005		EXAMINER		
GATES & COOPER LLP			SALIMI, ALI REZA		
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 6701 CENTER DRIVE WEST, SUITE 1050		050	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGELES, CA 90045			1648		

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
10/623,429	KOELLE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
A R. Salimi	1648	

	A R. Salimi	1648					
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATI	ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA	NCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			(DTOL 224)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Barah Blad amandara					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	_	-	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 7.9.12.33 and 35. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8.10 and 11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 13-32,34 and 36-	vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a Nord sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
	•						

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added claims raise 112 2nd, see claim 41. Claim 41 is indefnite, since the intended fusion protein is not defined. The second protein that is "fused" to 404-627 is not defined. Claim 8 refers to 404-627 as a consisting fragment.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Applicants' amendment has overcome rejection of claim 9. However, the previously stated rejections in their totality are maintained for claims 8, 10, 11.

Continuation of 13. Other: Regarding claim 10, Applicants are referred to Paragraph 67 of the specification. The limitation of fusion protein is not limited to "unrelated immunogenic proteins". Addition of such limitation, i.e. "unrelated immunogenic proteins" would over come the rejection.

LETHING TO SET THE